

### REMARKS

Claims 1-5 are allowed. Claim 8 is objected to for dependence upon a rejected base claim but indicated to be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all limitations of base and intervening claims. Claim 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stevenson (4,507,087).

This response is a conforming amendment which conforms Applicant's claims to the Office Action dated February 2, 2005. Rejected claims 6 and 7 have been canceled. A new claim 9 directed to the allowable subject matter of claim 8 is added. Claim 8 is canceled in favor of new claim 9.

Thus, Applicant's claims 1 through 5 having been indicated to be allowable and new claim 9 replacing objected claim 8, it is believed that canceling of claims 6, 7 and 8 places Applicant's claims in condition for allowance.

Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that this amendment under 37 CFR 1.116 be entered and that this application be allowed.